

PIOTR BOROWIK

TRISEQUENTIAL VERSION OF THE BOČVAR AND HALLDEN LOGICS

Introduction

System of the three – valued logics was constructed for various purposes, for example to the analysis of logical antinomies (see, e. g. [2], [3]), partial recursive functions (cf [8]), and three – valued logic (with true, false and nonsense sentences). Another application includes the investigation of the logic of programs (cf [7]).

It is assumed that logical values are true, false and nonsense or undefined value. Let $V = \{p_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a denumerable set of propositional variables, $\{\sim, T, \neg, \wedge\}$ and $\{\sim, S, \wedge\}$ be logical connective of the Bocvar and Hallden logic respectively. The connective \sim, T, \neg , and S are one – argument and \wedge is the two – argument connective. The set of formulae is defined in the usual way (cf H. Rasiowa and R. Sikorski [10]).

The presented trisequential version is, in a way, dual representation to D. Bočvar and B. Finn [2], O. Anshakov [1] and S. Surma [12].

1. Sequents. Let X, Y, Z be finite sets particulary empty of formulas. A sequent is an ordered triple

$$X+Y+Z$$

of sets of formulas. The sequents will be denoted by Π, Σ , with indices if necessary.

By an overfilled sequents we mean a sequents $\Sigma = X+Y+Z+$ such that $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ or $X \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ or $Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset$.

The presented version of the Bočvar and Hallden calculus consists of rules and sequents, particulary, overfilled sequents.

2. Rules. Rules of the introduction for connectives to sets X, Y , and Z of the sequent $X+Y+Z$ in Bočvar logic will have the following form:

(a) For the connective

$$(R_1^{\sim}) \frac{X+Y+Z, \alpha}{X, \sim\alpha+Y+Z}, (R_2^{\sim}) \frac{X+Y, \alpha+Z}{X+Y, \sim\alpha+Z}, (R_3^{\sim}) \frac{X, \alpha+Y+Z}{X+Y+Z, \sim\alpha}$$

here X, α mean $X \cup \{\alpha\}$

(b) For the connective T

$$(R_1^T) \frac{X, \alpha+Y+Z; X+Y, d+Z}{X, T\alpha+Y+Z}, (R_3^T) \frac{X+Y+Z, \alpha}{X+Y+Z, T\alpha}$$

(c) For the connective \neg

$$(R_1^{\neg}) \frac{X+Y, \alpha+Z; X+Y+Z, \alpha}{X, \neg\alpha+Y+Z}, (R_3^{\neg}) \frac{X, \alpha+Y+Z}{X+Y+Z, \neg\alpha}$$

(d) For the connective \wedge

$$(R_1^{\wedge}) \frac{X, \alpha, \beta+Y+Z; X, \alpha+Y+Z, \beta; X, \beta+Y+Z, \alpha}{X, \alpha \wedge \beta+Y+Z}$$

$$(R_2^{\wedge}) \frac{X, \alpha+Y, \beta+Z; X, \beta+Y, \alpha+Z; X+Y, \alpha, \beta+Z; X+Y, \alpha+Z, \beta; X+Y, \beta+Z, \alpha}{X+Y, \alpha \wedge \beta+Z}$$

$$(R_3^{\wedge}) \frac{X+Y+Z, \alpha, \beta}{X+Y+Z, \alpha \wedge \beta}$$

Rules of the introduction for connectives to sets X, Y and Z of the sequent $X+Y+Z$ in Hallden logic are the same as in Bočvar logic except rules for the connective S . (The connective T in Hallden logic does not occur). For the connective S rules will be in the following form

$$(R_1^S) \frac{X+Y, \alpha+Z}{X, S\alpha+Y+Z}, (R_3^S) \frac{X, \alpha+Y+Z; X+Y+Z, \alpha}{X+Y+Z, S\alpha}$$

3. Theorems. We shall call a system $D=(P, P', x_0, R)$ a proof tree if:

- (i) P is a finite set, the system (P, R, x_0) is the lower semilattice with the zero element x_0 ,
- (ii) $P' = \{y: \{x: xRy\} = 0\}$
- (iii) the cardinality of the set $\{x: xRy\}$ is at most 3^2

The sequent $\Sigma = X_1 + X_2 + X_3$ is the terminal sequent if there exists a formula α and $j, 1 \leq j \leq 3$ so that for every $i, 1 \leq i \leq 3, i \neq j, S_i = 0$ and $S_j = \{\alpha\}$.

The terminal sequent Σ has a proof on the ground of the set of sequents Φ if and only if there exists a proof tree $D = (\Delta, \Phi, \Sigma, R)$, where $\Phi \in \Delta$ and the relation

$$R = \cup \{R_1^{\sim}, R_2^{\sim}, R_3^+, R_1^T, R_3^T, R_1^{\neg}, R_3^{\neg}, R_1^{\wedge}, R_2^{\wedge}, R_3^{\wedge}\}$$

in the Bočvar logic and

$$R = \cup \{R_1^{\sim}, R_2^{\sim}, R_3^{\sim}, R_1^S, R_3^S, R_1^{\wedge}, R_2^{\wedge}, R_3^{\wedge}\}$$

in the Hallden logic.

A propositional formula α is a theorem in the trisequential calculus if and only if there exist the overfilled sequents on the ground of which the following terminal sequents are proved:

$$\alpha + 0 + 0$$

$$0 + \alpha + 0$$

in the case of the Bočvar logic and the terminal sequent

$$\alpha + 0 + 0$$

in the Hallden Logic.

The trisequential calculus, presented above permit to choose from the set formulas not only true formulas, but also false and undefined formulas.

REFERENCES

- [1] O.M. Anšakov, O nekotorych konstruktivizacijach popozicionalnych Logik D.A. Bočvara i S. Halldena, Issledovanija po neklassiceskim logikam i formalnym sistemam. Izdatelstvo Nauka, Moskva 1983 (in Russian).
- [2] D.A. Bočvar and V.K. Finn, O mnogoznacnyh logikach dopuskajuscich formalizaciju analiza anatinomij .1. Issledovanija po matematiceskoj lingvistike, matematiczeskoj logike i informacionnym jazykam. Izdatelstvo Nauka, Moskva 1972 (in Russian),
- [3] D.A. Bočvar and V.K. Finn, Nekotoryje dopolnenija k statjam o mnogoznacnyh logikach. Issledovanija po teorii mnozestv i neklassiceskim logikam. Izdatelstvo Nauka, Moskva 1976 (in Russian).
- [4] P. Borowik, On Gentzen's axiomatization of the reducts of many - valued logic. Abstract, The Journal of Symbolic logic 48 (4) 1983 pp 1224-1225.
- [5] M.C. Fitting, Intuitionistic Logic Model Theory and Forcing, North - Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, London 1986.
- [6] S. Hallden, The Logic of Nonsense Uppsala Universitets Arsskrift, 9, 1949.
- [7] B. Kirkerud, Undefinedness in Assertion Languages, Preprint No 74 University of Oslo, 1982.
- [8] S.C. Kleene, Introduction to Metamathematics, D. Van Nostrad Company. Inc. New York, Toronto 1952.
- [9] K. Piróg - Rzepecka, Systemy nonsense, Logics, Polish, Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, Wrocław 1977 (in Polish).

- [10] H. Rasiowa and R. Sikorski, *The Mathematics of Metamathematics*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1970.
- [11] R.M. Smullyan, *First – Order Logic*, Springer – Verlag, Heidelberg, New York 1968.
- [12] S.J. Surma, An algorithm for axiomatizing every finite logic, *Reports on Mathematical Logic*, v. 3. 1974.

STRESZCZENIE

Celem tej pracy jest przedstawienie trójsekwentowej wersji dwóch systemów rachunku zdań znanych w literaturze jako logiki nonsensu.