

## Remarks on permutable $n$ -groups

Wiesław A. Dudek and Zoran Stojaković

**Introduction.** In [8]  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -groupoids, which represent a generalization of several classes of  $n$ -groupoids, were considered. Some well known classes of  $n$ -groupoids are special cases of  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -groupoids. Among them are  $(i, j)$ -commutative and commutative  $n$ -groupoids, cyclic  $n$ -quasigroups from [12], [14], [19] and [20],  $i$ -permutable  $n$ -groupoids from [7] and [15], medial  $n$ -groups (cf. [4], [9]), alternating symmetric  $n$ -quasigroups (cf. [3], [13], [18]), parastrophy invariant  $n$ -quasigroups (cf. [10], [11] and [17]), totally symmetric  $n$ -quasigroups and some others.

Binary groupoids which are  $\sigma$ -permutable for different values of  $\sigma$  are commutative groupoids, semisymmetric groupoids (i.e groupoids satisfying the identity  $(xy)x = y$ ), totally symmetric quasigroups, groupoids satisfying Sade's left key'slaw  $x(xy) = y$  and Sade's right key'slaw  $(xy)y = x$  (cf. [1]).

This paper is a continuation of [8] and [16]. The primary question which is considered here is the derivability of such  $n$ -groups from binary groups. Some question on isomorphisms of  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -groups were also considered, but first we give some necessary definitions and notations.

### 1. Notations and definitions

To avoid repetitions we assume throughout the whole text that  $n > 2$ . We shall use the standard abbreviated notation

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{k+s}, x_{k+s+1}, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1^k, x^{\binom{s}{k}}, x_{k+s+1}^n),$$

whenever  $x_{k+1} = x_{k+2} = \dots = x_{k+s} = x$  ( $x_i^j$  is the empty symbol for  $i > j$  and for  $i > n$ , also  $x^{\binom{0}{k}}$  is the empty symbol).

---

AMS Subject Classification (1991): 20N15

Key words and phrases:  $n$ -group, permutable  $n$ -group, isomorphism

If  $\sigma \in S_n$ , where  $S_n$  denotes the symmetric group of degree  $n$ , then  $x_{\sigma(i)}, x_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(j)}$  is denoted by  $x_{\sigma(i)}^{\sigma(j)}$ . If  $i > j$  then the symbol  $x_{\sigma(i)}^{\sigma(j)}$  is considered empty.

By  $\omega$  we shall always denote the automorphism  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$  of a commutative group. If  $G$  is a set, then  $id$  denotes the identity mapping of  $G$ .

If an  $n$ -group  $(G, f)$  has the form

$$f(x_1^n) = x_1 \cdot \theta x_2 \cdot \theta^2 x_3 \cdot \dots \cdot \theta^{n-1} x_n \cdot b,$$

where  $(G, \cdot)$  is a binary group,  $b \in G$ , and  $\theta$  is an automorphism of  $(G, \cdot)$  such that  $\theta(b) = b$ ,  $\theta^{n-1}(x) = bxb^{-1}$  for all  $x \in G$ , then this  $n$ -group is called  $\langle \theta, b \rangle$ -derived from  $(G, \cdot)$  and is denoted by  $der_{\theta,b}(G, \cdot)$ . If  $\theta = id$  and  $b$  is the neutral element of  $(G, \cdot)$ , then an  $n$ -group  $(G, f) = der_{\theta,b}(G, \cdot)$  is called derived from  $(G, \cdot)$  or reducible to  $(G, \cdot)$ . By Hosszú theorem every binary group  $(G, \cdot)$  induces on  $G$  the  $n$ -group structure and conversely, every  $n$ -group  $(G, f)$  is  $\langle \theta, b \rangle$ -derived from some binary group defined on  $G$ .

Let  $(G, f)$  be an  $n$ -group,  $a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}$  be fixed elements of  $G$  and let  $x \cdot y = f(x, a_2^{n-1}, y)$ . Then  $(G, \cdot)$  is a group which is called a binary retract of  $(G, f)$ . As it is proved in [21] (cf. also [6]) all retracts of an  $n$ -group  $\langle \theta, b \rangle$ -derived from a given group  $(G, \cdot)$  are isomorphic to  $(G, \cdot)$ . Thus all retracts of a given  $n$ -group are isomorphic.

An  $n$ -group  $(G, f)$  is called medial (or abelian), if

$$f(\{f(x_{1i}^{ni})\}_{i=1}^{i=n}) = f(\{f(x_{i1}^{in})\}_{i=1}^{i=n})$$

for all  $x_{ij} \in G$ ,  $i, j \in N_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . An  $n$ -group  $(G, f)$  is medial iff it is  $(1, n)$ -commutative, i.e. iff  $f(x_1^n) = f(x_n, x_2^{n-1}, x_1)$  for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in G$  (cf. [9]). In other words, an  $n$ -group is medial iff it has an abelian retract.

**Definition 1.** (see [8] or [16]) Let  $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ . An  $n$ -groupoid  $(G, f)$  is called  $\sigma$ -permutable iff for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in G$

$$f(x_1^n) = x_{n+1} \iff f(x_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma(n)}) = x_{\sigma(n+1)}.$$

An equivalent form of the preceding definition is the following.

**Definition 2.** Let  $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ . If  $\sigma(i) = n + 1$  for some  $i \in N_n$ , then an  $n$ -groupoid  $(G, f)$  is  $\sigma$ -permutable iff for all  $x_i \in G$ ,  $i \in N_n$

$$f(x_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma(i-1)}, f(x_1^n), x_{\sigma(i+1)}^{\sigma(n)}) = x_{\sigma(n+1)}.$$



If  $\sigma(n+1) = n+1$ , then  $(G, f)$  is  $\sigma$ -permutable iff for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in G$

$$f(x_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma(n)}) = f(x_1^n).$$

A  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -groupoid which is an  $n$ -group is called a  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -group.

### Examples

(1) Medial  $n$ -groups are  $\sigma$ -permutable, where  $\sigma = (1, n)$  is a transposition.

(2) Let  $G = Z_2 \times Z_2 \times Z_2$ , where  $Z_2$  is a cyclic group of order 2. Then the mapping

$$\theta(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_2, z_3, z_1)$$

is an automorphism of the group  $G$  and  $\theta^3 = id$ . Hence by Hosszú theorem the set  $G$  with the operation

$$f(x_1^7) = x_1 \cdot \theta x_2 \cdot \theta^2 x_3 \cdot x_4 \cdot \theta x_5 \cdot \theta^2 x_6 \cdot x_7$$

is a non-commutative 7-group. It is not reducible and it is  $\sigma$ -permutable for all  $\sigma \in H$ , where  $H$  is a subgroup of  $S_8$  generated by the following transpositions:  $(1, 8)$ ,  $(4, 8)$  and  $(7, 8)$ .

(3) Let  $(G, +)$  be an abelian group and let

$$f(x_1^5) = x_1 - x_2 + x_3 - x_4 + x_5.$$

Then  $(G, f)$  is a 5-group which is  $\sigma$ -permutable for every  $\sigma \in H$ , where  $H$  is a subgroup of  $S_6$  generated by the transpositions:  $(1, 3)$ ,  $(1, 5)$ ,  $(2, 4)$ ,  $(2, 6)$ ,  $(3, 5)$  and  $(4, 6)$ .

In the sequel we shall use the following abbreviations: if  $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ , then always  $i = \sigma^{-1}(n+1)$  and  $j = \sigma(n+1)$ .

## 2. $\sigma$ -permutable $n$ -groups

In [16] we proved the following two theorems.

**Theorem 1.** *Every  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -group is medial.*  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *If  $\sigma(n+1) \neq n+1$ , then an  $n$ -group  $(G, f) = der_{\theta, b}(G, \cdot)$  is  $\sigma$ -permutable iff  $(G, \cdot)$  is a commutative group,  $\theta^{\sigma(k)-k-j+1} = \omega$  for*

all  $k \in N_n - \{i\}$  and  $b = b^{-1}$ . □

□ As a simple consequence we obtain

**Corollary 1.** *If an  $n$ -group  $(G, f) = \text{der}_{\theta,b}(G, \cdot)$  is  $\sigma$ -permutable and  $\sigma(n+1) \neq n+1$ , then  $x^{<2>} = x$  for  $x \in G$  and  $\theta^{d(t,\sigma)} = \omega$  for all  $t \in N_n - \{i\}$ , where  $d(t, \sigma) = \sigma(t) - t + i - 1$ .*

**Proof.** The condition  $x^{<2>} = x$  immediately follows from the definition of  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -groups. Since  $\theta^{i+j-2} = id$ , then by Theorem 2

$$\theta^{d(t,\sigma)} = \theta^{\sigma(t)-t+i-1-(i+j-2)} = \theta^{\sigma(t)-t-j+1} = \omega . \quad \square$$

Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a group and let  $\theta$  be its an automorphism. Let

$$id(G, \theta) = \{v \in N : \theta^v = id\} ,$$

$$\omega(G, \theta) = \{t \in N : \theta^t = \omega\} .$$

From the above results it follows that for every  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -group  $(G, f) = \text{der}_{\theta,b}(G, \cdot)$  there exists

$$v_0 = \min \{v \in id(G, \theta) : v \neq 0\}$$

and

$$t_0 = \min \{t \in \omega(G, \theta) : t \neq 0\} .$$

Obviously  $t_0 \leq v_0$  and  $v_0|v$  for every  $v \in id(G, \theta)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $(G, f) = \text{der}_{\theta,b}(G, \cdot)$  be a  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -group, where  $\sigma(n+1) \neq n+1$ . Then*

$$\theta^t = \omega \iff t \equiv t_0 \pmod{v_0} .$$

**Proof.** It is clear that  $t \equiv t_0 \pmod{v_0}$  implies  $\theta^t = \theta^{t_0} = \omega$ .

Conversely, if  $\theta^t = \omega$  for some  $t \in N$ , then  $t \geq t_0$ . Thus  $t = st_0 + r$  for some  $s \in N$  and  $0 \leq r < t_0 \leq v_0$ . For even  $s$  we have  $\omega = \theta^t = \theta^r \theta^{st_0} = \theta^r$ , which implies  $r = 0$ . Hence  $t = st_0$ . Thus  $\omega = \theta^t = \theta^{st_0} = id$ . This is possible only for a Boolean group, but in this case  $t_0 = v_0$  and



$t \equiv t_0 \pmod{v_0}$ . If  $s$  is odd, then  $s = 2k + 1$  and  $\omega = \theta^t = \theta^{st_0}\theta^r = \omega\theta^r$ , which gives  $\theta^r = id$ . Thus  $r = 0$  because  $r < v_0$ . But  $2kt_0 \in id(G, \theta)$  and  $v_0 | 2kt_0$ , then  $t = (2k + 1)t_0 = 2kt_0 + t_0$  implies  $t \equiv t_0 \pmod{v_0}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ ,  $\sigma(n+1) \neq n+1$  and let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a commutative group. Then an  $n$ -group  $(G, f) = der_{\theta, b}(G, \cdot)$  is  $\sigma$ -permutable iff

$$(1) \quad \sigma^{-1}(n+1) + \sigma(n+1) \equiv 2 \pmod{v_0},$$

$$(2) \quad d(t, \theta) \equiv t_0 \pmod{v_0} \quad \text{for every } t \in N_n - \{i\}.$$

**Proof.** From the above results it follows that every  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -group of the form  $(G, f) = der_{\theta, b}(G, \cdot)$  satisfies (1) and (2).

On the other hand, if  $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ ,  $\sigma(n+1) \neq n+1$ ,  $(G, \cdot)$  is a commutative group and an  $n$ -group  $(G, f) = der_{\theta, b}(G, \cdot)$  satisfies (2), then for every  $x \in G$  and  $t \in N_n - \{i\}$ , we get

$$\theta^{t-2\sigma^{-1}(t)+i}(\theta^{\sigma^{-1}(t)-1}x \cdot \theta^{i+t-2}x) = \theta^{t-\sigma^{-1}(t)+i-1}x \cdot \theta^{2(t-\sigma^{-1}(t)+i-1)}x =$$

$$\theta^{d(t, \sigma)}x \cdot \theta^{2d(t, \sigma)}x = x^{-1} \cdot x = e,$$

where  $e$  is the identity of  $(G, \cdot)$ . Hence

$$(3) \quad \theta^{\sigma^{-1}(t)-1}x \cdot \theta^{i+t-2}x = e$$

for every  $x \in G$ , and, in particular

$$\theta^{\sigma^{-1}(t)-1}x_{\sigma(\sigma^{-1}(t))} \cdot \theta^{i+t-2}x_t = e$$

for every  $t \in N_n - \{i\}$  and  $x_t \in G$ .

Putting  $x = b$  in (3) we obtain  $b^2 = e$ , which together with (1), (2) and (3) gives

$$f(x_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma(i-1)}, f(x_1^n), x_{\sigma(i+1)}^{\sigma(n)}) =$$

$$x_{\sigma(1)} \cdot \theta x_{\sigma(2)} \cdot \dots \cdot \theta^{i-1}(x_1 \cdot \theta x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \theta^{n-1}x_n \cdot b) \cdot \theta^i x_{\sigma(i+1)} \cdot \dots \cdot \theta^{n-1}x_{\sigma(n)} \cdot b =$$

$$(\theta^{\sigma^{-1}(1)-1}x_{\sigma(\sigma^{-1}(1))} \cdot \theta^{i-1}x_1) \cdot (\theta^{\sigma^{-1}(2)-1}x_{\sigma(\sigma^{-1}(2))} \cdot \theta^i x_2) \cdot \dots$$

$$\dots \cdot (\theta^{\sigma^{-1}(n)-1} x_{\sigma(\sigma^{-1}(n))}) \cdot \theta^{i-1+n-1} x_n) \cdot \theta^{i-1+j-1} x_{\sigma(n+1)} \cdot b^2 =$$

$$e \cdot \dots \cdot e \cdot x_{\sigma(n+1)} \cdot e = x_{\sigma(n+1)}.$$

This proves that an  $n$ -group  $(G, f) = \text{der}_{\theta,b}(G, \cdot)$  satisfying (1) and (2) is  $\sigma$ -permutable, which ends the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$  and  $\sigma(n+1) = n+1$ . If  $(G, \cdot)$  is a commutative group, then an  $n$ -group  $(G, f) = \text{der}_{\theta,b}(G, \cdot)$  is  $\sigma$ -permutable if and only if  $\sigma(k) \equiv k \pmod{v_0}$  for all  $k \in N_n$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $(G, \cdot)$  is commutative, then  $v_0 \leq n-1$ . It is clear that for  $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$  and  $k \in N_n$

$$\sigma(k) \equiv k \pmod{v_0} \iff \sigma^{-1}(k) \equiv k \pmod{v_0}.$$

If  $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ ,  $\sigma(n+1) = n+1$  and  $\sigma(k) \equiv k \pmod{v_0}$  for all  $k \in N_n$ , then  $\theta^{k-1} = \theta^{\sigma(k)-1}$ . Thus, by the commutativity of  $(G, \cdot)$ , we get

$$f(x_1^n) = x_1 \cdot \theta x_2 \cdot \theta^2 x_3 \cdot \dots \cdot \theta^{n-1} x_n \cdot b =$$

$$\theta^{\sigma(1)-1} x_{\sigma(1)} \cdot \theta^{\sigma(2)-1} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdot \dots \cdot \theta^{\sigma(n)-1} x_{\sigma(n)} \cdot b =$$

$$x_{\sigma(1)} \cdot \theta x_{\sigma(2)} \cdot \theta^2 x_{\sigma(3)} \cdot \dots \cdot \theta^{n-1} x_{\sigma(n)} \cdot b = f(x_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma(n)}),$$

which proves that this  $n$ -group is  $\sigma$ -permutable.

Conversely, if  $(G, f) = \text{der}_{\theta,b}(G, \cdot)$  is  $\sigma$ -permutable and  $\sigma(n+1) = n+1$ , then

$$x_1 \cdot \theta x_2 \cdot \theta^2 x_3 \cdot \dots \cdot \theta^{n-1} x_n \cdot b = x_{\sigma(1)} \cdot \theta x_{\sigma(2)} \cdot \theta^2 x_{\sigma(3)} \cdot \dots \cdot \theta^{n-1} x_{\sigma(n)} \cdot b.$$

Putting  $x_k = x$  for some  $k \in N_n$  and  $x_t = e$  for all  $t \neq k$ , we get  $\theta^{k-1}(x) = \theta^{\sigma^{-1}(k)-1}(x)$ . Thus  $k \equiv \sigma^{-1}(k) \pmod{v_0}$ , which completes our proof.  $\square$

Let  $(G, f)$  be an arbitrary  $n$ -groupoid. By  $(G, f^\#)$  we denote the dual  $n$ -groupoid, i.e. the set  $G$  with an  $n$ -ary operation defined by

$$f^\#(x_1^n) = f(x_n, x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, \dots, x_2, x_1).$$



It is clear that  $(G, f)$  is an  $n$ -group iff  $(G, f^\#)$  is an  $n$ -group. Moreover,  $(G, f)$  is  $\sigma$ -permutable iff  $(G, f)$  is  $\tau$ -permutable for some  $\tau \in S_{n+1}$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ ,  $\sigma(n+1) \neq n+1$ . Then an  $n$ -group  $(G, f)$  is  $\sigma$ -permutable iff an  $n$ -group  $(G, f^\#)$  is  $\tau$ -permutable, where  $\tau$  is a permutation defined by the formula

$$\tau(k) = \begin{cases} n+1 - \sigma(n+1) & \text{for } k = n+1, \\ n+1 - \sigma(n+1 - k) & \text{for } k \in N_n - \{n+1 - \sigma(n+1)\}, \\ n+1 & \text{for } k = n+1 - \sigma(n+1). \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** It is clear that  $\sigma(n+1) \neq n+1$  iff  $\tau(n+1) \neq n+1$ . Moreover, by Hosszú theorem and our Theorem 1 an  $n$ -group  $(G, f)$  is  $\langle \theta, b \rangle$ -derived from a commutative group  $(G, \cdot)$ , where  $\theta^{n-1} = id$  and  $\theta b = b$ .

Let  $\psi = \theta^{-1}$ . Then  $\psi(b) = b$ ,  $\psi^{n-1} = id$  and  $\psi$  is an automorphism of the group  $(G, \cdot)$ . Moreover

$$f(x_1^n) = x_1 \cdot \psi^{n-2} x_2 \cdot \psi^{n-3} x_3 \cdot \dots \cdot \psi^2 x_{n-2} \cdot \psi x_{n-1} \cdot x_n \cdot b.$$

Thus, by the commutativity of  $(G, \cdot)$  we obtain

$$f^\#(x_1^n) = f(x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2, x_1) = x_1 \cdot \psi x_2 \cdot \psi^2 x_3 \cdot \dots \cdot \psi^{n-2} x_{n-1} \cdot x_n \cdot b,$$

which proves that an  $n$ -group  $(G, f^\#)$  is  $\langle \psi, b \rangle$ -derived from  $(G, \cdot)$ .

Since an  $n$ -group  $(G, f)$  is  $\sigma$ -permutable,  $\sigma(n+1) \neq n+1$ , then

$$x_{\sigma(1)} \cdot \theta x_{\sigma(2)} \cdot \dots \cdot \theta^{i-1} (x_1 \cdot \theta x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \theta^{n-1} x_n \cdot b) \cdot \theta^i x_{\sigma(i+1)} \cdot \dots \cdot \theta^{n-1} x_{\sigma(n)} \cdot b = x_{\sigma(n+1)}.$$

Replacing  $\theta$  by  $\psi^{n-2}$  and putting  $y_{n+1-k}$  instead of  $x_k$  for all  $k \in N_n$  we obtain

$$y_{\tau(1)} \cdot \psi y_{\tau(2)} \cdot \psi^2 y_{\tau(3)} \cdot \dots \cdot \psi^{n-i-1} y_{\tau(n-i)} \cdot \psi^{n-i} (y_1 \cdot \psi y_2 \cdot \psi^2 y_3 \cdot \dots \cdot \psi^{n-1} y_n \cdot b) \cdot \psi^{n-i+1} y_{\tau(n-i+2)} \cdot \dots \cdot \psi^{n-1} y_{\tau(n)} \cdot b = y_{\tau(n+1)},$$

which means that  $(G, f^\#)$  is a  $\tau$ -permutable  $n$ -group.

The converse is obvious. □

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ ,  $\sigma(n+1) = n+1$ . Then an  $n$ -group  $(G, f)$  is  $\sigma$ -permutable iff an  $n$ -group  $(G, f^\#)$  is  $\tau$ -permutable, where  $\tau$  is a permutation defined by  $\tau(n+1) = n+1$  and  $\tau(k) = n+1 - \sigma(n+1 - k)$  for

all  $k \in N_n$ .

**Proof.** The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 5.  $\square$

### 3. Isomorphisms of $\sigma$ -permutable $n$ -groups

As is well known (cf. [5]) retracts of isomorphic  $n$ -groups are isomorphic. On the other hand, retracts of non-isomorphic  $n$ -groups also can be isomorphic. In [6] conditions on isomorphisms of retracts of  $n$ -groups were given, which imply that the polyadic groups from which the retracts are taken are isomorphic. For the case of commutative retracts (in particular, for the case of  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -groups) these conditions have even simpler form.

For example, from Theorem 3 in [6], we obtain

**Theorem 7.** *Two  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -groups  $der_{\theta_A, a}(A, \cdot)$  and  $der_{\theta_B, b}(B, \cdot)$  are isomorphic iff there exists an isomorphism  $\phi : (A, \cdot) \rightarrow (B, \cdot)$  and an element  $c \in B$  such that*

$$\phi(a) = c^2 \cdot \theta_{BC} \cdot \theta_B^2 c \cdot \dots \cdot \theta_B^{n-2} c \cdot b,$$

and

$$\phi\theta_A(x) = \theta_B\phi(x) \text{ for all } x \in A.$$

$\square$

On the other hand, Corollary 7 from [6] implies the following characterization of isomorphisms of  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -groups.

**Theorem 8.** *Two  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -groups  $(A, g)$  and  $(B, f)$  are isomorphic iff for an element  $a \in A$  there exist an element  $b \in B$  and an isomorphism  $\phi : ret_a(A, g) \rightarrow ret_b(B, f)$  such that*

$$\phi g(a, x, \overset{(n-3)}{a}, \bar{a}) = f(b, \phi(x), \overset{(n-3)}{b}, \bar{b}) \text{ for all } x \in A$$

and

$$\phi g(a, a, \dots, a) = f(b, b, \dots, b).$$

$\square$

As a consequence of the above results and Corollary 2 from [16], we get

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $(A, g) = der_{\theta_A, e_A}(A, \cdot)$  and  $(B, f) = der_{\theta_B, e_B}(B, \cdot)$  be two  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -groups. If  $n$  is even (in general, if retracts of  $(A, g)$  and  $(B, f)$  are Boolean), then  $n$ -groups  $(A, g)$  and  $(B, f)$  are isomorphic iff there exist an isomorphism  $\phi : (A, \cdot) \rightarrow (B, \cdot)$  and an element  $c \in B$*



such that

$$\theta_B c \cdot \theta_B^2 c \cdot \theta_B^3 \cdot \dots \cdot \theta_B^{n-2} c = e_B$$

and

$$\phi \theta_A(x) = \theta_B \phi(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in A. \quad \square$$

## References

- [1] J.DÉNES, A.D.KEEDWELL, *Latin squares and their applications*, Akadémiai Kiado, Budapest and Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [2] W.A.DUDEK: *Remarks on  $n$ -groups*, Demonstratio Math. **13** (1980), 165 – 181.
- [3] W.A.DUDEK: *Remarks on alternating symmetric  $n$ -quasigroups*, Zbornik Radova Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Univ. u Novom Sadu **15.2** (1985), 67 – 78.
- [4] W.A.DUDEK: *Medial  $n$ -groups and skew elements*, Proceedings of the V Universal Algebra Symposium Üiversal and Applied Algebra; Turawa 1988, World Scientific, Singapore 1989, 55 – 80.
- [5] W.A.DUDEK, J.MICHALSKI: *On a generalization of Hosszú theorem*, Demonstratio Math. **15** (1982), 783 – 805.
- [6] W.A.DUDEK, J.MICHALSKI: *On retracts of polyadic groups*, Demonstratio Math. **17** (1984), 281 – 301.
- [7] W.A.DUDEK, Z.STOJAKOVIĆ: *On permutable  $n$ -groups*, Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie **27** (1988), 129 – 140.
- [8] W.A.DUDEK, Z.STOJAKOVIĆ: *On  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -groupoids*, Zbornik Radova Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Univ. u Novom Sadu **15.1** (1985), 189 – 198.
- [9] K.GŁAZEK, B.GLEICHGEWICHT: *Abelian  $n$ -groups*, Colloquia Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, **29**. Universal Algebra, Esztergom (Hungary), 1977, 321 – 329.
- [10] D.G.HOFFMAN: *On the spectrum of  $n$ -quasigroups with given conjugate invariant subgroup*, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A, **35** (1983), 98 – 99.

- [11] D.PAUNIĆ, Z.STOJAKOVIĆ: *Parastrophy invariant  $n$ -quasigroups*, Zbornik Radova Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Univ. u Novom Sadu **13** (1983), 251 – 257.
- [12] Z.STOJAKOVIĆ: *Cyclic  $n$ -quasigroups*, Zbornik Radova Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Univ. u Novom Sadu **12** (1982), 407 – 415.
- [13] Z.STOJAKOVIĆ: *Alternating symmetric  $n$ -quasigroups*, Zbornik Radova Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Univ. u Novom Sadu **13** (1983), 259 – 272.
- [14] Z.STOJAKOVIĆ: *A generalization of Mendelsohn triple systems*, Ars Combinatoria **18** (1984), 131 – 138.
- [15] Z.STOJAKOVIĆ, W.A.DUDEK: *Permutable  $n$ -groupoids*, Zbornik Radova Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Univ. u Novom Sadu **14.2** (1984), 155 – 166.
- [16] Z.STOJAKOVIĆ, W.A.DUDEK: *On  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -groups*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd), **40(54)** (1986), 49 – 55.
- [17] Z.STOJAKOVIĆ, W.A.DUDEK: *Single identities for varieties equivalent to quadruple systems*, Discrete Math. (in print).
- [18] Z.STOJAKOVIĆ, R.MADARAS: *On tetrahedral quadruple systems*, Utilitas Math. **29** (1986), 19 – 26.
- [19] Z.STOJAKOVIĆ, D.PAUNIĆ: *On a class of  $n$ -groups*, Zbornik Radova Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Univ. u Novom Sadu **14.2** (1984), 147 – 154.
- [20] Z.STOJAKOVIĆ, D.PAUNIĆ: *Self-orthogonal cyclic  $n$ -quasigroups*, Aequationes Math. **30** (1986), 252 – 257.
- [21] J.TIMM: *Zur gruppentheoretischen Beschreibung  $n$ -stelliger Strukturen*, Publ. Math. (Debrecen) **17** (1970), 183 – 192.



## Remarks on permutable $n$ -groups

Wiesław A. Dudek and Zoran Stojaković

### Abstract

An  $n$ -ary group ( $n$ -group)  $(G, f)$  is called  $\sigma$ -permutable, where  $\sigma$  is a permutation of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ , if and only if

$$f(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = x_{\sigma(n+1)} \iff f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_{n+1}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in G$ . Such  $n$ -groups are a generalization of several classes of  $n$ -groups considered in our previous papers. In this paper we give some examples of  $\sigma$ -permutable  $n$ -groups and describe properties of such groups. Necessary and sufficient conditions for an  $n$ -group to be  $\sigma$ -permutable are determined. We give also several conditions under which such  $n$ -groups are isomorphic.

### Uwagi o permutowalnych $n$ -grupach

Wiesław A. Dudek and Zoran Stojaković

### Streszczenie

Grupa  $n$ -arna ( $n$ -grupa)  $(G, f)$  nazywa się  $\sigma$ -permutowalną, gdzie  $\sigma$  jest permutacją zbioru  $\{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ , wtedy i tylko wtedy gdy

$$f(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = x_{\sigma(n+1)} \iff f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_{n+1}$$

dla wszystkich  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in G$ . Takie  $n$ -grupy są uogólnieniem kilku klas  $n$ -grup rozpatrywanych w naszych poprzednich pracach. W tej pracy podajemy kilka przykładów  $\sigma$ -permutowalnych  $n$ -grup i opisujemy własności tych  $n$ -grup. Podajemy warunki konieczne i wystarczające na to by  $n$ -grupa była  $\sigma$ -permutowalna. Podajemy też warunki przy spełnieniu których takie  $n$ -grupy są izomorficzne.