

K-CONTINUITY PROBLEM OF *K*-SUPERQUADRATIC SET-VALUED FUNCTIONS

KATARZYNA TROCZKA-PAWELEC

ABSTRACT

In this paper we study *K*-superquadratic set-valued functions. We will present here some connections between *K*-boundedness of *K*-superquadratic set-valued functions and *K*-semicontinuity of multifunctions of this kind.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X = (X, +)$ be an arbitrary topological group. A real-valued function F is called superquadratic, if it fulfils inequality

$$(1) \quad 2F(x) + 2F(y) \leq F(x + y) + F(x - y), \quad x, y \in X.$$

If the sign “ \leq ” in (1) is replaced by “ \geq ”, then F is called subquadratic. The continuity problem of functions of this kind was considered in [2]. This problem was also considered in the class of set-valued functions. In this case F is called subquadratic set-valued function, if it satisfies inclusion

$$(2) \quad F(x + y) + F(x - y) \subset 2F(x) + 2F(y), \quad x, y \in X$$

and superquadratic set-valued function, if it satisfies inclusion defined in such a form:

$$(3) \quad 2F(x) + 2F(y) \subset F(x + y) + F(x - y), \quad x, y \in X.$$

For usual (i.e. single-valued) functions the properties of subquadratic and superquadratic functions are quite analogous and, in view of the fact that if a function F is subquadratic, then the function $-F$ is superquadratic and conversely, it is not necessary to investigate functions of these two kinds individually.

In the case of set-valued functions the situation is different. Even if properties of subquadratic and superquadratic set-valued functions are similar, we have to prove them separately.

• *Katarzyna Troczka-Pawelec* — e-mail: k.troczka@ajd.czest.pl
Jan Długosz University in Częstochowa.

If the sign “ \subset ” in the inclusions above is replaced by “ $=$ ”, then F is called quadratic set-valued function. The class of quadratic set-valued functions is an important subclass of the class of subquadratic and superquadratic set-valued functions. Quadratic set-valued functions have already extensive bibliography (see D. Henney [1], K. Nikodem [4] and W. Smajdor [5]). The continuity problem of subquadratic and superquadratic set-valued functions was considered in [6] and [7].

If we enlarge the space of values of a set-valued function F by a cone K we can consider K -superquadratic set-valued functions, that is solutions of the inclusion

$$(4) \quad F(x+y) + F(x-y) \subset 2F(x) + 2F(y) + K, \quad x, y \in X.$$

The concept of K -superquadraticity is related to real-valued superquadratic functions. Note, in the case when F is a single-valued real function and $K = [0, \infty)$, we obtain the standard definition of superquadratic functions (1).

Similarly, if a set-valued function F satisfies the following inclusion

$$(5) \quad 2F(x) + 2F(y) \subset F(x+y) + F(x-y) + K, \quad x, y \in X$$

then it is called K -subquadratic. The K -continuity problem of multifunction of this kind was considered in [8]. It has been proved there that a K -subquadratic set-valued function F defined on 2-divisible topological group X with non-empty, compact and convex values in a locally convex topological vector space Y , which is K -continuous at zero and locally K -bounded in X , is K -continuous everywhere in X .

In this paper we shall consider similar problem for K -superquadratic set-valued functions. Likewise as in functional analysis we can look for connections between K -boundedness and K -semi-continuity of set-valued functions of this kind.

Assuming $K = \{0\}$ in (4) and (5), we obtain the inclusions (2) and (3).

Let us start with the notations used in this paper. Let Y be a topological vector space. Let $n(Y)$ denotes the family of all non-empty subsets of Y and $cc(Y)$ —the family of all compact and convex members of $n(Y)$. The term *set-valued function* will be abbreviated to the form s.v.f.

Recall that a set $K \subset Y$ is called a cone iff $K + K \subset K$ and $sK \subset K$ for all $s \in (0, \infty)$.

Definition 1. (cf. [3]) *A cone K in a topological vector space Y is said to be a normal cone iff there exists a base \mathfrak{W} of zero in Y such that*

$$W = (W + K) \cap (W - K)$$

for all $W \in \mathfrak{W}$.

Definition 2. (cf. [3]) An s.v.f. $F: X \rightarrow n(Y)$ is said to be K -upper semi-continuous (abbreviated K -u.s.c.) at $x_0 \in X$ iff for every neighbourhood V of zero in Y there exists a neighbourhood U of zero in X such that

$$F(x) \subset F(x_0) + V + K$$

for every $x \in x_0 + U$.

Definition 3. (cf. [3]) An s.v.f. $F: X \rightarrow n(Y)$ is said to be K -lower semi-continuous (abbreviated K -l.s.c.) at $x_0 \in X$ iff for every neighbourhood V of zero in Y there exists a neighbourhood U of zero in X such that

$$F(x_0) \subset F(x) + V + K$$

for every $x \in x_0 + U$.

Definition 4. (cf. [3]) An s.v.f. $F: X \rightarrow n(Y)$ is said to be K -continuous at $x_0 \in X$ iff it is both K -u.s.c. and K -l.s.c. at x_0 . It is said to be K -continuous iff it is K -continuous at each point of X .

Note that in the case where $K = \{0\}$ the K -continuity of F means its continuity with respect to the Hausdorff topology on $n(Y)$.

In this paper we will use the following lemma.

Lemma 1. (cf. [8]) Let Y be a topological vector space and K be a cone in Y . Let A, B, C be non-empty subsets of Y such that $A + C \subset B + C + K$. If B is convex and C is bounded, then $A \subset \overline{B + K}$.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

In the proof of the main theorem we will often use four known lemmas (see Lemma 1.1, Lemma 1.3, Lemma 1.6 and Lemma 1.9 in [9]). The first lemma says that for a convex subset A of an arbitrary real vector space Y the equality $(s + t)A = sA + tA$ holds for every $s, t \geq 0$ or $(s, t < 0)$. The second lemma says that in a real vector space Y for two convex subsets A, B the set $A + B$ is also convex. The next lemma says that if $A \subset Y$ is a closed set and $B \subset Y$ is a compact set, where Y denotes a real topological vector space, then the set $A + B$ is closed. For any sets $A, B \subset Y$, where Y denotes the same space as above, the inclusion $\overline{A + B} \subset \overline{A} + \overline{B}$ holds and the equality holds if and only if the set $\overline{A + B}$ is closed.

Notice that for the cone K the following remark holds.

Remark 1. Let Y be a real topological vector space. If K is a closed cone, then it is a cone with zero.

Let us adopt the following three definitions which are natural extension of the concept of the boundedness for real-valued functions.

Definition 5. An s.v.f. $F: X \rightarrow n(Y)$ is said to be K -lower bounded on a set $A \subset X$ iff there exists a bounded set $B \subset Y$ such that $F(x) \subset B + K$ for all $x \in A$. An s.v.f. $F: X \rightarrow n(Y)$ is said to be K -lower bounded at a point $x \in X$ iff there exists a neighbourhood U_x of zero in X such that F is K -lower bounded on a set $x + U_x$.

Definition 6. An s.v.f. $F: X \rightarrow n(Y)$ is said to be K -upper bounded on a set $A \subset X$ iff there exists a bounded set $B \subset Y$ such that $F(x) \subset B - K$ for all $x \in A$. An s.v.f. $F: X \rightarrow n(Y)$ is said to be K -upper bounded at a point $x \in X$ iff there exists a neighbourhood U_x of zero in X such that F is K -upper bounded on a set $x + U_x$.

Definition 7. An s.v.f. $F: X \rightarrow n(Y)$ is said to be locally K -bounded in X iff it is both K -upper and K -lower bounded at every point $x \in X$.

Definition 8. We say that 2-divisible topological group X has the property $(\frac{1}{2})$ iff for every neighbourhood V of zero there exists a neighbourhood W of zero such that $\frac{1}{2}W \subset W \subset V$.

For the K -superquadratic set-valued functions the following theorem holds.

Theorem 1. Let X be a 2-divisible topological group with property $(\frac{1}{2})$, Y – locally convex topological real vector space and $K \subset Y$ a closed normal cone. If a K -superquadratic s.v.f. $F: X \rightarrow cc(Y)$ is K -u.s.c. at zero, $F(0) = \{0\}$ and locally K -bounded in X , then it is K -u.s.c. in X .

Proof. Suppose that F is not K -u.s.c. at a point $z \in X$, i.e. there exists a neighbourhood V of zero in Y such that for every neighbourhood U of zero in X we can find $x_u \in U$ for which

$$F(z + x_u) \not\subseteq F(z) + V + K.$$

Take a balanced convex neighbourhood W of zero in Y such that

$$W \subset V$$

and

$$\overline{F(z) + W + K} \subset F(z) + V + K.$$

Then also

$$(6) \quad F(z + x_u) \not\subseteq \overline{F(z) + W + K}.$$

Let a neighbourhood U of zero in X be arbitrarily fixed. Suppose that

$$(7) \quad F(z + x_u) + 2^k (2^k - 1) F(x_u) \not\subseteq \overline{F(z + (1 - 2^k)x_u) + 2^k W + K}$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. The proof of (7) runs by induction. For $k = 0$ condition (7) holds with respect to (6). Putting $y = x$ in (4) and using condition $F(0) = \{0\}$, we have

$$F(2x) \subset 4F(x) + K.$$

An easy induction shows

$$(8) \quad F(2^n x) \subset 4^n F(x) + K$$

for $x \in X$ and for all positive integers $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By K -superquadraticity of F and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F\left(z + (1 - 2^{k+1})x_u\right) + F(z + x_u) = \\ & = F\left(z + x_u - 2^k x_u - 2^k x_u\right) + F\left(z + x_u - 2^k x_u + 2^k x_u\right) \subset \\ & \subset 2F\left(z + x_u - 2^k x_u\right) + 2F\left(2^k x_u\right) + K \subset \\ (9) \quad & \subset 2F\left(z + (1 - 2^k)x_u\right) + 2^{2k+1}F(x_u) + K. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the fact that for any sets $A, B \subset Y, \overline{A} + \overline{B} \subset \overline{A + B}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{F\left(z + (1 - 2^k)x_u\right) + 2^k W + K} + K \subset \\ & \subset \overline{F\left(z + (1 - 2^k)x_u\right) + 2^k W + K} \end{aligned}$$

and, consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{\overline{F\left(z + (1 - 2^k)x_u\right) + 2^k W + K} + K} \subset \\ (10) \quad & \subset \overline{F\left(z + (1 - 2^k)x_u\right) + 2^k W + K}. \end{aligned}$$

By (7) and (10), we obtain

$$F(z + x_u) + 2^k \left(2^k - 1\right) F(x_u) \not\subset \overline{\overline{F\left(z + (1 - 2^k)x_u\right) + 2^k W + K} + K}.$$

Notice that for a cone K the equality $aK = K$ holds for every $a \in (0, \infty)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad & 2F(z + x_u) + 2^{k+1} \left(2^k - 1\right) F(x_u) \not\subset \\ & \not\subset \overline{\overline{2F\left(z + (1 - 2^k)x_u\right) + 2^{k+1}W + K} + K}. \end{aligned}$$

By (11) and Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2F(z + x_u) + 2^{k+1} \left(2^k - 1\right) F(x_u) + 2^{2k+1}F(x_u) \not\subset \\ & \not\subset \overline{\overline{2F\left(z + (1 - 2^k)x_u\right) + 2^{k+1}W + K} + 2^{2k+1}F(x_u) + K}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Remark 1, K is a cone with zero. Therefore by above,

$$(12) \quad 2F(z + x_u) + 2^{k+1} \left(2^k - 1 \right) F(x_u) + 2^{2k+1} F(x_u) + K \not\subseteq \\ \not\subseteq \overline{2F(z + (1 - 2^k)x_u) + 2^{k+1}W + K} + 2^{2k+1} F(x_u) + K.$$

In view of the fact that the sum of closed and compact sets is closed and for any sets $A, B \subset Y, \overline{A + B} = \overline{A} + \overline{B}$, in the case where $\overline{A + B}$ is a closed set, we get

$$(13) \quad \overline{2F(z + (1 - 2^k)x_u) + 2^{k+1}W + K} + 2^{2k+1} F(x_u) = \\ = \overline{2F(z + (1 - 2^k)x_u) + 2^{k+1}W + K + 2^{2k+1} F(x_u)}.$$

Since K is a cone, by (9), we obtain

$$(14) \quad \overline{F(z + (1 - 2^{k+1})x_u) + F(z + x_u) + 2^{k+1}W + K} \subset \\ \subset \overline{2F(z + (1 - 2^k)x_u) + 2^{k+1}W + K + 2^{2k+1} F(x_u)}.$$

Since F has closed values, we get

$$(15) \quad \overline{F(z + x_u) + F(z + (1 - 2^{k+1})x_u) + 2^{k+1}W + K} + K \subset \\ \subset \overline{F(z + (1 - 2^{k+1})x_u) + F(z + x_u) + 2^{k+1}W + K} + K.$$

Consequently, by (12–15) we conclude

$$2F(z + x_u) + 2^{k+1} \left(2^k - 1 \right) F(x_u) + 2^{2k+1} F(x_u) + K \not\subseteq \\ \not\subseteq \overline{F(z + x_u) + F(z + (1 - 2^{k+1})x_u) + 2^{k+1}W + K} + K.$$

By convexity of the sets $F(x_u)$ i $F(z + x_u)$, we obtain

$$F(z + x_u) + F(z + x_u) + 2^{k+1} \left(2^{k+1} - 1 \right) F(x_u) + K \not\subseteq \\ \not\subseteq \overline{F(z + x_u) + F(z + (1 - 2^{k+1})x_u) + 2^{k+1}W + K} + K.$$

Therefore,

$$F(z + x_u) + 2^{k+1} \left(2^{k+1} - 1 \right) F(x_u) \not\subseteq \\ \not\subseteq \overline{F(z + (1 - 2^{k+1})x_u) + 2^{k+1}W + K}.$$

We have proved that (7) holds for every neighbourhood U of zero in X and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Since K is a normal cone, there exists a base \mathfrak{W} of neighbourhoods of zero in Y such that $M = (M + K) \cap (M - K)$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{W}$. We can choose $W_1 \in \mathfrak{W}$ and balanced neighbourhood W_2 of zero in Y such that

$$W_2 \subset W_1 \subset W.$$

Because F is K -lower bounded on a neighbourhood of z , there exists a neighbourhood U_0 of zero in X and a bounded set $B_1 \subset Y$ such that

$$F(z + t) \subset B_1 + K, \quad t \in U_0.$$

Since the set B_1 is bounded, there exists $\lambda_1 > 0$ such that

$$B_1 \subset \frac{1}{\lambda_1}W_2.$$

Therefore, by above,

$$F(z + t) \subset \frac{1}{\lambda_1}W_2 + K, \quad t \in U_0.$$

Similarly, since F is K -upper bounded on a neighbourhood of z , there exists a neighbourhood U_1 of zero in X and a bounded set $B_2 \subset Y$ such that

$$F(z + t) \subset B_2 - K, \quad t \in U_1.$$

Since the set B_2 is bounded, there exists $\lambda_2 > 0$ such that

$$B_2 \subset \frac{1}{\lambda_2}W_2.$$

Therefore, by above,

$$F(z + t) \subset \frac{1}{\lambda_2}W_2 - K, \quad t \in U_1.$$

Let $\lambda := \min\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$. Since W_2 is a balanced set, we get

$$(16) \quad F(z + t) \subset \frac{1}{\lambda}W_2 + K \subset \frac{1}{\lambda}W_1 + K, \quad t \in U_0$$

and

$$(17) \quad F(z + t) \subset \frac{1}{\lambda}W_2 - K \subset \frac{1}{\lambda}W_1 - K, \quad t \in U_1.$$

By (16) and (17), we obtain

$$(18) \quad F(z + t) \subset \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}W_1 + K\right) \cap \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}W_1 - K\right), \quad t \in U_0 \cap U_1.$$

Because of $W_1 \in \mathfrak{W}$, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}W_1 + K\right) \cap \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}W_1 - K\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda}W_1$$

and, consequently, the following inclusion holds

$$(19) \quad F(z + t) \subset \frac{1}{\lambda}W$$

for every $t \in U_0 \cap U_1$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be so large that

$$(20) \quad 2^k > \frac{3}{\lambda}.$$

Let U be a symmetric neighbourhood of zero in X such that $U+U \subset U_0 \cap U_1$ and $\frac{1}{2}U \subset U$. Consider two sets $\frac{1}{2^k}U$ i $\frac{1}{\lambda 2^k(2^k-1)}W$. Since F is K -u.s.c. at zero and $F(0) = \{0\}$, there exists a symmetric neighbourhood U_2 of zero in X such that

$$(21) \quad U_2 \subset \frac{1}{2^k}U \subset U$$

and

$$(22) \quad F(t) \subset \frac{1}{\lambda 2^k(2^k-1)}W + K, \quad t \in U_2.$$

There exists $x_u \in U_2$ such that (7) holds. By (21),

$$(23) \quad (1 - 2^k)x_u = x_u - 2^k x_u \in U_2 - U \subset U + U \subset U_0 \cap U_1$$

and by (22),

$$(24) \quad F(x_u) \subset \frac{1}{\lambda 2^k(2^k-1)}W + K.$$

Let $a \in F(z + (1 - 2^k)x_u)$, $b \in F(z + x_u)$ i $c \in F(x_u)$. By (19), (20), (23) and (24), we obtain

$$b + 2^k(2^k - 1)c - a \in \frac{1}{\lambda}W + \frac{1}{\lambda}W + K + \frac{1}{\lambda}W \subset 2^k W + K.$$

Therefore,

$$b + 2^k(2^k - 1)c \in F(z + (1 - 2^k)x_u) + 2^k W + K.$$

We have proved that

$$F(z + x_u) + 2^k(2^k - 1)F(x_u) \subset F(z + (1 - 2^k)x_u) + 2^k W + K,$$

which contradicts (7). \square

This article is an introduction to the discussion on the K -continuity problem for K -superquadratic set-valued functions. In the theory of K -subquadratic and K -superquadratic set-valued functions an important role is played by theorems giving possibly weak conditions under which such multi-functions are K -continuous.

REFERENCES

- [1] Henney D., *Quadratic set-valued functions*, Ark. Mat. 4, (1962).
- [2] Kominek Z., Troczka-Pawelec K., *Continuity of real valued subquadratic functions*, Commentationes Mathematicae, Vol. 51, No. 1 (2011), 71-75.
- [3] Nikodem K., *K-convex and K-concave set-valued functions*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 30, (1983).
- [4] Nikodem K., *On quadratic set-valued functions*, Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Łódzkiej, nr 559, Łódź (1989).
- [5] Smajdor W., *Subadditive and subquadratic set-valued functions*, Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Śląskiego w Katowicach, nr 889, Katowice (1987).
- [6] Troczka-Pawelec K., *Continuity of superquadratic set-valued functions*, Scientific Issues Jan Długosz University in Częstochowa, Mathematics XVII, (2012).
- [7] Troczka-Pawelec K., *Continuity of subquadratic set-valued functions*, Demonstratio Mathematica, vol. XLV, no 4, (2012), 939-946.
- [8] Troczka-Pawelec K., *K-subquadratic set-valued functions*, in print.

Received: October 2014

K. Troczka-Pawelec

JAN DŁUGOSZ UNIVERSITY IN CZĘSTOCHOWA,
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE,
AL. ARMII KRAJOWEJ 13/15, 42-200 CZĘSTOCHOWA, POLAND
E-mail address: k.troczka@ajd.czyst.pl