

## The equivalence relation as the set valued by some Heyting algebra.

Teresa Biegańska

I. The relations of a partial order on a set are denoted by the symbol  $\leq$ . Let  $\langle L, \leq \rangle$  be a partially ordered set and  $X$  be a non-empty subset of  $L$ . The least upper bound of  $X$  in  $L$  and the greatest lower bound of  $X$  in  $L$  are denoted by  $\bigvee X$  and  $\bigwedge X$ , respectively. If  $X$  is a two-element set,  $X = \{a, b\}$ , the respective bounds are denoted by  $a \vee b$  and  $a \wedge b$ . A *lattice* is a partially ordered set  $\langle L, \leq \rangle$  with the property that for every pair  $a, b$  of elements of  $L$ , the supremum  $a \vee b$  and the infimum  $a \wedge b$  exist. A lattice  $\mathfrak{S} = \langle L, \leq \rangle$  is called *complete*, if for each non-empty set  $X \subseteq L$ , the least upper bound  $\bigvee X$  and the greatest lower bound  $\bigwedge X$  exists.

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be elements of a lattice  $\langle L, \leq \rangle$ . An element  $x \in L$  is called the *pseudocomplement of  $a$  relative to  $b$* , if  $x$  is the largest element of  $L$  with the property that  $a \wedge x \leq b$ . This element is denoted by  $a \rightarrow b$ .

If the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}$  possesses the least element (which is denoted by  $0$ ), then the element  $a \rightarrow 0$  is called the *pseudocomplement of  $a$*  and is denoted by  $\neg a$ .

Any lattice with the least element  $0$  such that the operation of relative pseudocomplementation  $\rightarrow$  is defined for every pair  $a, b$  i.e.,  $a \rightarrow b$  exists for all  $a, b$ , is called a *Heyting algebra*. (Instead of „Heyting algebra” the term „pseudo-Boolean algebra” is also often used in the literature).

If a lattice with the above properties is complete, it is called a *complete Heyting algebra*.

It is a well-known fact (see e.g. [3]) that a complete lattice  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a Heyting algebra if and only if, for every indexed subset  $\{a_t\}_{t \in T}$  of this lattice and for every  $a \in L$ , the following equality holds in the lattice:

$$a \wedge \bigvee_{t \in T} a_t = \bigvee_{t \in T} (a \wedge a_t)$$

(The condition is referred to as infinite distributivity). It follows from this result that not every complete and distributive lattice is a Heyting algebra. For example, in the lattice of all closed subsets of a straight line there does not exist the pseudocomplement of the element  $p$  relative to the empty set  $\emptyset$ , where  $p$  is any point of the line.

Clearly, every finite distributive lattice is a Heyting algebra. Also every Boolean algebra is a Heyting algebra. It is also known (cf. [3]) that a Heyting algebra is a Boolean algebra iff, for every element  $a$  of this algebra,  $a \vee \neg a = 1$ , where  $1$  stands for the greatest element of the lattice.

II. Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \leq)$  be a complete Heyting algebra. By a set valued by the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , shortly an  $\mathcal{A}$ -set, we shall mean any pair  $(U, \delta)$  such that  $U$  is a set and  $\delta : U \times U \rightarrow A$  is a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $\forall x, y \in U \quad \delta(x, y) = \delta(y, x),$
- (2)  $\forall x, y, z \in U \quad \delta(x, y) \wedge \delta(y, z) \leq \delta(x, z).$

The intuitive sense of the definition of an  $\mathcal{A}$ -set is: for any two given elements  $x, y$  of the set  $U$ , the element  $\delta(x, y)$  of the Heyting algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  defines the extent with respect to which the element  $x$  is equal to  $y$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite Heyting algebra. Let us notice that every finite partition of the set  $U$  induces a certain Heyting algebra (obviously,  $\mathcal{A}$  is then a finite algebra, so it is complete) and a certain  $\mathcal{A}$ -set. So, if the underlying set of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  consists of elements  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ , then  $U = \bigcup_{i \in T} U_i$ , where

$$T = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad U_i = \{x \in U : a_i \leq \delta(x, x)\}.$$

Conversely, if  $\{U_i : i \in T\}$  is a finite partition of the set  $U$ , then we define the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  as a subalgebra of the algebra of all subsets of the set  $T$  ( $2^T, \cap, \cup$ ) generated by set

$$\mathcal{T} = \{T_x : x \in U\}, \quad \text{where } T_x = \{i \in T : x \in U_i\}.$$

Since the algebra  $(2^T, \cap, \cup)$  is a distributive lattice, so  $\mathcal{A}$  as its sublattice is also a distributive lattice and, as a consequence of this, is a Heyting algebra.

We define the function  $\delta : U \times U \rightarrow A$  in the following way:

$$\delta(x, y) = T(x) \cap T(y).$$

It is easy to observe, that the pair  $(U, \delta)$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -set.

Let  $\mathcal{B}_2$  be the two-element Boolean algebra and let  $(U, \delta)$  be a set valued by the algebra  $\mathcal{B}_2$ . We define a relation  $R$  on  $U$  as follows:

$$xRy \iff \delta(x, y) = 1,$$

for  $x, y \in U$ .

Then the condition (1) of the definition of  $\mathcal{A}$ -sets states, that  $R$  is a symmetric relation, and the condition (2) states, that  $R$  is transitive.

Let us observe that  $R$  is an equivalence relation on the set

$\tilde{U} = \{x \in U : \exists y \in U \quad \delta(x, y) = 1\}$ , because for every  $x \in \tilde{U}$  we have  $\delta(x, x) = 1$  (on the ground of conditions (1) and (2)).

Now, let us assume, that  $R$  is an equivalence relation on the set  $U$ . Then the pair  $(U, \delta)$ , where the function  $\delta : U \times U \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is defined in the following way:

$$\delta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } xRy, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is a  $\mathcal{B}_2$  - set.

## REFERENCE

- [1] G. Grätzer, General Lattice Theory, Akademie - Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
- [2] D. Higgs, Injectivity in the topos complete Heyting algebra valued sets, *Canad. J. Math.*, 36 (1984), pp. 550 - 568.
- [3] H. Rasiowa and R. Sikorski, The Mathematics of Metamathematics, Warszawa (1970), PWN, Tom 41.