SOLUTIONS OF THE DHOMBRES-TYPE TRIGONOMETRIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

Iwona Tyrala

Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science Jan Długosz University in Częstochowa al. Armii Krajowej 13/15, 42-200 Częstochowa, Poland e-mail: i.tyrala@ajd.czest.pl

Abstract. Let (G, +) be a uniquely 2-divisible Abelian group. In the present paper we will consider the solutions of functional equation $[f(x+y)]^2 - [f(x-y)]^2 + f(2x+2y) + f(2x-2y) = f(2x)[f(2y)+2g(2y)], \ x,y \in G$, where f and g are complex-valued functions defined on G.

1. Introduction

We know many trigonometric identities. To us, important will be the following:

$$\left[\sin\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\right]^2 - \left[\sin\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)\right]^2 = \sin(x)\sin(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1}$$

$$\sin(x+y) + \sin(x-y) = 2\sin(x)\cos(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},\tag{2}$$

$$\sinh(x - y) = \sinh(x)\cosh(y) - \cosh(x)\sinh(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (3)

Let (G, +) be a uniquely 2-divisible Abelian group and $f, g: G \to \mathbb{C}$. Equation (1) translates into the well known *sine functional equation* [1, 8]

$$\left[f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right]^2 - \left[f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) \right]^2 = f(x)f(y) \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y \in G, \tag{4}$$

and (2) gives rise to the familiar cosine functional equation [1, 6, 7]

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x)g(y) \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y \in G, \tag{5}$$

and (3) leads to the Aczel-Dhombres functional equation [1]

$$f(x-y) = f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y) \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y \in G.$$
 (6)

From now on, f_o and f_e stand for the odd and the even part of a function f.

Theorem 1 (Aczél and Dhombres [1]). Let (G, +) be a uniquely 2-divisible Abelian group. Then $f, g: G \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfy equation (6) if and only if

- (i) f = 0 and g is arbitrary; or
- (ii) there exists an additive function $A: G \to \mathbb{C}$ and a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(x) = A(x), \ g(x) = \alpha A(x) + 1, x \in G$; or
- (iii) there exists an exponential function $m: G \to \mathbb{C}$ and constants $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(x) = \beta m_o(x), \ g(x) = \gamma m_o(x) + m_e(x), \ x \in G$.

From the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y), \\ f(xy) = f(x)f(y), \end{cases}$$

we get the Dhombres functional equation (see [2])

$$f(x + y) + f(xy) = f(x) + f(y) + f(x)f(y)$$

for functions f mapping a given ring into another one. A different system of the functional equations has been studied by Ger [3, 4, 5]. Here we consider the sum of equations (4) and (5).

2. Main results

We replace x by 2x and y by 2y in (4) and (5). Summing up these functional equations side by side, for all $x, y \in G$, we get

$$[f(x+y)]^2 - [f(x-y)]^2 + f(2x+2y) + f(2x-2y) = f(2x)[f(2y) + 2g(2y)].$$
 (7)

Remark 1. Put x = y = 0 in (7), so that we have $f(0) = 0 \lor f(0) = 2 - 2g(0)$.

Lemma 1. Let (G, +) be a uniquely 2-divisible Abelian group and let functions $f, g: G \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfy equation (7). In this case

- (i) if f = 0, then g is arbitrary;
- (ii) if g = 0, then f = 0 or f = 2.

Proof. Ad (ii). For g = 0, putting y = x in (7), we get

$$f(x) = [f(0)]^2 - f(0) = f(0)[f(0) - 1] = \gamma, \quad x \in G.$$
 (8)

From equation (7) we obtain

$$\gamma^2 - \gamma^2 + 2\gamma = \gamma^2,$$

whence
$$\gamma = 0 \lor \gamma = 2$$
. By (8), we conclude that $f = 0 \lor f = 2$.

Lemma 2. Let (G, +) be a uniquely 2-divisible Abelian group and let nonzero functions $f, g: G \to \mathbb{C}$ be functions defined by

$$f(x) = aA(x) + f(0), \ g(x) = bA(x) + g(0), \quad x \in G,$$
 (9)

with some additive function $A: G \to \mathbb{C}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy equation (7). In this case we have the following possibilities:

- (i) If f(0) = 0, then f(x) = aA(x), g(x) = 1, $x \in G$.
- (ii) If $f(0) \neq 0$, then f(x) = f(0), $g(x) = 1 \frac{1}{2}f(0)$, $x \in G$.

Proof. Applying (9) to (7), we have

$$[aA(x+y)+f(0)]^2 - [aA(x-y)+f(0)]^2 + aA(2x+2y) + 2f(0) + aA(2x-2y)$$
$$= [aA(2x)+f(0)][(a+2b)A(2y)+f(0)+2g(0)], \ x,y \in G.$$

From the properties of additive function A for all $x, y \in G$, we infer that

$$[2-f(0)-2g(0)][2aA(x)+f(0)]+2f(0)[a-2b]A(y)=8abA(x)A(y). \hspace{1.5cm} (10)$$

Case 1. Assume that f(0) = 0. Then equation (10) has a form

$$aA(x)[1 - g(0) - 2bA(y)] = 0, \quad x, y \in G.$$
 (11)

If $a = 0 \lor A = 0 \lor (a \neq 0 \land A \neq 0 \land b \neq 0)$, then we get f = 0, a contradiction. Hence we have only one possibility $(a \neq 0 \land A \neq 0 \land b = 0)$. Consequently, equation (11) gives g(0) = 1. From (9) we obtain (i).

Case 2. Let $f(0) \neq 0$. By Remark 1 and equation (10), we get the relation

$$A(y)[f(0)(a-2b) - 4abA(x)] = 0, \quad x, y \in G.$$

If A=0, then (ii). Assume that $A\neq 0$. From above we have

$$f(0)(a-2b) = 4abA(x), \quad x \in G.$$

Therefore $(a=0\Rightarrow b=0)\vee (b=0\Rightarrow a=0)$, the case (ii). If $a\neq 0 \wedge b\neq 0$, then A=0, a contradiction.

Now, we formulate some properties of the exponential function without a proof.

Lemma 3. Let (G, +) be a uniquely 2-divisible Abelian group. Then a nonzero exponential function $m: G \to \mathbb{C}$ has the following properties

(i)
$$m_e(x+y) + m_e(x-y) = 2m_e(x)m_e(y), \quad x, y \in G;$$

(ii)
$$[m_o(x+y)]^2 - [m_o(x-y)]^2 = m_o(2x)m_o(2y), \quad x,y \in G;$$

(iii)
$$m_o(x+y) + m_o(x-y) = 2m_o(x)m_e(y), \quad x, y \in G;$$

(iv)
$$m_o(2x) = 2m_o(x)m_e(x), x \in G;$$

(v)
$$[m_e(x+y)]^2 - [m_e(x-y)]^2 = m_o(2x)m_o(2y), \quad x, y \in G;$$

(vi)
$$m_o(x+y) - m_o(x-y) = 2m_e(x)m_o(y), \quad x, y \in G.$$

Lemma 4. Let (G, +) be a uniquely 2-divisible Abelian group and let nonzero functions $f, g: G \to \mathbb{C}$ be functions defined by

$$f(x) = am_o(x) + bm_e(x), \ g(x) = cm_o(x) + dm_e(x), \ x \in G,$$
 (12)

with some exponential function $m: G \to \mathbb{C}$ and $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying equation (7). Then we have the following possibilities:

(i)
$$f(x) = am_o(x), g(x) = m_e(x), x \in G$$
; or

(ii)
$$f(x) = b \neq 0$$
, $g(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}b$, $x \in G$; or

(iii)
$$f(x) = bm_o(x) + bm_e(x), g(x) = \frac{1}{2}bm_o(x) + (1 - \frac{1}{2}b)m_e(x), x \in G$$
; or

(iv)
$$f(x) = -bm_o(x) + bm_e(x), g(x) = -\frac{1}{2}bm_o(x) + (1 - \frac{1}{2}b)m_e(x), x \in G.$$

Proof. Inserting functions (12) into equation (7), for all $x, y \in G$, we obtain

$$[am_o(x+y) + bm_e(x+y)]^2 - [am_o(x-y) + bm_e(x-y)]^2 + a[m_o(2x+2y) + m_o(2x-2y)] + b[m_e(2x+2y) + m_e(2x-2y)]$$

$$= [am_o(2x) + bm_e(2x)][(a+2c)m_o(2y) + (b+2d)m_e(2y)].$$

From above and Lemma 3, we get

$$[b^2 - 2ac]m_o(x)m_o(y) + b[a - 2c]m_e(x)m_o(y)$$

$$+a[2-b-2d]m_o(x)m_e(y) + b[2-b-2d]m_e(x)m_e(y) = 0, \ x, y \in G.$$
 (13)

Directly from the definition (12), we see that f(0) = b and g(0) = d. Moreover, from Remark 1 we infer that b = 0 or b = 2(1 - d).

Now we shall distinguish two cases regarding the value of function f at zero.

Case 1. Let f(0) = b = 0. Then, by (13), we conclude that

$$am_o(x)[-cm_o(y) + (1-d)m_e(y)] = 0, \ x, y \in G.$$

If a=0 or $m_o=0$, then also f=0. Hence

$$-cm_o(y) + (1-d)m_e(y) = 0, \ y \in G.$$
(14)

Putting y = 0 in (14) and using $m_o(0) = 0$, we have d = 1. Jointly with (14), for all $y \in G$, this implies that $-cm_o(y) = 0$, whence c = 0, which ends the proof of (i).

Case 2. Assume that $f(0) = b \neq 0$. Set b = 2(1-d) in (13). Then, we get

$$m_o(y)[(b^2 - 2ac)m_o(x) + b(a - 2c)m_e(x)] = 0, \ x, y \in G.$$
 (15)

Subcase 2.1. Let $m_o = 0$. By equation (12), we conclude that $f = bm_e$, $g = dm_e$. Replacing y by -y in (7), we arrive at

$$[f(x-y)]^2 - [f(x+y)]^2 + f(2x-2y) + f(2x+2y) = f(2x)[f(2y) + 2g(2y)].$$
 (16)

Subtracting (7) and (16), we get

$$[f(x+y)]^2 = [f(x-y)]^2, \quad x, y \in G.$$

Putting here y=x and replacing x by $\frac{x}{2}$, we obtain $f^2=b^2$. The case f=-b is impossible. In other words, we have (ii): f=b, $m_e=1$, $g=d=\frac{2-b}{2}=1-\frac{1}{2}b$.

Subcase 2.2. Suppose $m_o \neq 0$. Then (15) yields

$$(b^2 - 2ac)m_o(x) + b(a - 2c)m_e(x) = 0, \quad x \in G.$$
(17)

Putting x=0, we have a=2c. From (17), for all $x\in G$, we get $(b^2-4c^2)m_o(x)=0$, i.e. $b^2=4c^2$. If $a=2c\wedge b=2c$, then we have the case (iii). However, $a=2c\wedge b=-2c$ yields (iv).

Theorem 2. Let (G, +) be a uniquely 2-divisible Abelian group. Then functions $f, g: G \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfy equation (7) if and only if

- (i) f = 0 and g is arbitrary; or
- (ii) $f(x) = \alpha \neq 0, \ g(x) = 1 \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \ x \in G; \ or$
- (iii) there exists an additive function $A: G \to \mathbb{C}$ such that f = A, g = 1; or
- (iv) there exists an exponential function $m: G \to \mathbb{C}$ and some constant $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f = \beta m_o$, $g = m_e$; or

- (v) there exists an exponential function $m: G \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(x) = f(0)m_o(x) + f(0)m_e(x)$, $g(x) = \frac{f(0)}{2}m_o(x) + \left(1 \frac{f(0)}{2}\right)m_e(x)$, $x \in G$; or
- (vi) there exists an exponential function $m: G \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(x) = -f(0)m_o(x) + f(0)m_e(x)$, $g(x) = -\frac{f(0)}{2}m_o(x) + \left(1 \frac{f(0)}{2}\right)m_e(x)$, $x \in G$.

Proof. From Lemma 1 we obtain (i) and (ii) for $\alpha = 2$. Assume that $f \neq 0$ and $g \neq 0$. Putting x = 0 in (7), we get

$$[f(y)]^2 - [f(-y)]^2 + f(2y) + f(-2y) = f(0)[f(2y) + 2g(2y)], \quad y \in G.$$

Let 2C := f(0). Thus, from above

$$f(2y) + f(-2y) - 2C[f(2y) + 2g(2y)] = [f(-y)]^2 - [f(y)]^2, \quad y \in G.$$
 (18)

Interchanging the roles of x and y in (7), we obtain

$$[f(y+x)]^2 - [f(y-x)]^2 + f(2y+2x) + f(2y-2x)$$

$$= f(2y)f(2x) + 2f(2y)g(2x), x, y \in G.$$
(19)

Subtracting (7) and (19), we get

$$[f(y-x)]^2 - [f(x-y)]^2 + f(2x-2y) - f(2y-2x)$$

$$= 2f(2x)g(2y) - 2f(2y)g(2x), x, y \in G.$$
(20)

Applying (18) for y equal x - y, we recive

$$f(2x-2y)+f(-2x+2y)-2C[f(2x-2y)+2g(2x-2y)]$$

$$=[f(-x+y)]^2-[f(x-y)]^2, x, y \in G.$$
(21)

By (20) and (21), we get the relation

$$(1-C)f(2x-2y) - 2Cg(2x-2y) = f(2x)g(2y) - f(2y)g(2x), \quad x, y \in G.$$

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2}$ and y by $\frac{y}{2}$, we obtain

$$(1-C)f(x-y) - 2Cq(x-y) = f(x)q(y) - f(y)q(x), \quad x, y \in G.$$
 (22)

Case 1. Let $f(0) = 0 \Rightarrow C = 0$. Thus, from (22) we get

$$f(x-y) = f(x)g(y) - f(y)g(x), \quad x, y \in G,$$

By Theorem 1 (ii), we infer that $f(x) = A(x), g(x) = \alpha A(x) + 1$ for some additive function A and some constant α . In view of Lemma 2 for a = 1, $b = \alpha$, f(0) = 0, g(0) = 1, we deduce that

$$f(x) = A(x), \quad g(x) = 1, \quad x \in G.$$

This is the case (iii) of our theorem. By Theorem 1 (iii), we get

$$f(x) = \beta m_o(x), \quad g(x) = \gamma m_o(x) + m_e(x), \quad x \in G.$$

For $a = \beta$, b = 0, $c = \gamma$, d = 1 in Lemma 4 (i) we have the case (iv), i.e.

$$f(x) = \beta m_o(x), \quad g(x) = m_e(x), \quad x \in G.$$

Case 2. Assume that $f(0) \neq 0$. Then g(0) = 1 - C, and (22) gives

$$g(0)f(x-y) - f(0)g(x-y) = f(x)g(y) - f(y)g(x), \quad x, y \in G.$$
 (23)

Subcase 2.1. If g(0) = 0, then f(0) = 2. By (23), we infer that

$$g(x-y) = g(x)\frac{f(y)}{2} - g(y)\frac{f(x)}{2}, \quad x, y \in G.$$
 (24)

Theorem 1 (ii) yields g(x) = A(x), $\frac{f(x)}{2} = \alpha A(x) + 1$ for some additive function A and some constant α . Thus

$$f(x) = 2\alpha A(x) + 2$$
, $g(x) = A(x)$, $x \in G$.

By Lemma 2 for $a = 2\alpha$, b = 1, f(0) = 2, g(0) = 0, we get f = 2, g = 0. This is the case (ii). Theorem 1 (iii) leads us to

$$f(x) = 2\gamma m_o(x) + 2m_e(x), \quad g(x) = \beta m_o(x), \quad x \in G.$$

From Lemma 4 (ii) for $a = 2\gamma$, b = 2, $c = \beta$, d = 0, we get (ii) of the theorem. The case (iii) for f(0) = 2 gives (v), and (iv) gives (vi).

Subcase 2.2. Let $f(0) \neq 0$ and $g(0) \neq 0$. Thus, from (23) for

$$F(x) := g(0)f(x) - f(0)g(x), \quad G(x) := \frac{g(x)}{g(0)}, \quad x \in G,$$

we conclude that

$$F(x - y) = F(x)G(y) - F(y)G(x), \quad x, y \in G.$$
 (25)

Again, by Theorem 1 (ii), we obtain

$$g(x) = g(0)\alpha A(x) + g(0), \quad f(x) = \frac{1 + f(0)g(0)\alpha}{g(0)}A(x) + f(0), \quad x \in G.$$

By Lemma 2 (ii) for $a = \frac{1+f(0)g(0)\alpha}{g(0)}$, $b = g(0)\alpha$, we get (ii) of the theorem. Further, Theorem 1 (iii) yields

$$F(x) := g(0)f(x) - f(0)g(x) = \beta m_o(x), \quad x \in G,$$

$$G(x) := \frac{g(x)}{g(0)} = \gamma m_o(x) + m_e(x), \quad x \in G,$$

or, equivalently,

$$g(x) = g(0)\gamma m_o(x) + g(0)m_e(x), \quad x \in G,$$

$$f(x) = \frac{\beta + \gamma f(0)g(0)}{g(0)} m_o(x) + f(0)m_e(x), \quad x \in G.$$

Now, using Lemma 4 for $a = \frac{\beta + \gamma f(0)g(0)}{g(0)}$, b = f(0), $c = \gamma g(0)$, d = g(0), the case (ii) gives (ii) of our theorem, however (iii) yields (v), and (iv) gives (vi).

References

- [1] J. Aczél, J. Dhombres. Functional Equations in Several Variables. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1989.
- [2] J. Dhombres. Relations de dépendance entre les équations fonctionnelles de Cauchy. Aequat. Math., 35, 186–212, 1988.
- [3] R. Ger. On an equation of ring homomorphisms. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **52**, 397–417, 1998.
- [4] R. Ger. Ring homomorphisms equation revisited. *Rocznik Nauk.-Dydakt. Prace Mat.*, **17**, 101–115, 2000.
- [5] R. Ger. Additivity and exponentiality are alien to each other. *Aequat. Math.*, **80**, 111–118, 2010.
- [6] Pl. Kannappan. The functional equations $f(xy) + f(xy)^{-1} = 2f(x)f(y)$ for groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **19**, 69–74, 1968.
- [7] I. Tyrala. A characterization of the sine function by functional inequalities. *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, 14, 13–33, 2011.
- [8] W.H. Wilson. On certain related functional equations. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **26**, 300–312, 1919.